

E-FILED 01/29/10

JS-6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT EQUIPMENT INC., a  
Delaware Corporation

Plaintiff,

v.

RUSSELL FULLER doing business as  
REVOLUTION SUPPLY COMPANY;  
REVOLUTION SUPPLY CO., INC., a  
California Corporation; MAS SUPPLY,  
INC., a California Corporation; DOES 1  
through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV09-07733PSG (RNBx)

~~[PROPOSED]~~  
**ORDER GRANTING  
STIPULATED CONSENT  
JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT  
INJUNCTION**

1 Plaintiff Perfect Equipment Inc. sued Defendants Russell Fuller, Revolution  
2 Supply Company, Revolution Supply Co., Inc. and MAS Supply, Inc. for  
3 infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,364,421 and for unfair competition under federal  
4 and state law. The Defendants deny those claims. Plaintiff and Defendants want to  
5 settle this dispute without any admission of liability of any party hereto, and good  
6 cause appearing therefore, the Plaintiff and Defendants, through their attorneys,  
7 hereby stipulate, and it is hereby:

8 ORDERED: That Defendants RUSSELL FULLER individually and dba  
9 REVOLUTION SUPPLY COMPANY, REVOLUTION SUPPLY COMPANY, INC.  
10 and MAS SUPPLY, INC. ("Defendants"), their agents, employees, affiliates, and all  
11 others who are in privity with Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined from  
12 manufacturing, importing, using, selling or offering to sell any wheel balancing  
13 device which infringes any claim of United States Letter of Patent No. 6,364,421, and  
14 it is further

15 ORDERED: That Defendants, their agents, employees, affiliates, and all others  
16 who are in privity with Defendants are enjoined from using the packaging of Exhibit 1  
17 or part numbers 200624S, 200624IM, 200624V, 300360, 300360IM, 300360V in  
18 connection with the sale of wheel balancing weights in any way that causes a  
19 likelihood of confusion between Defendants on the one hand and Plaintiff on the  
20 other hand unless the wheel weights and packaging were manufactured or sold by  
21 Plaintiff, and it is further

22 ORDERED: That this Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Permanent  
23 Injunction or for modification or dissolution of this Order as future events may  
24 warrant; and it is further  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           ORDERED: The Complaint is dismissed with prejudice, each party bear its  
2 own costs and attorney fees.  
3

4 

5 Dated: 01/29/10

\_\_\_\_\_  
Philip S. Gutierrez  
United States District Judge

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 T:\Client Documents\REVOS\0011\Order Granting Stip Prot Order.DOC  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

